

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address Commissioner of PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington Dec. 20231
www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/24/2001	Christian Boehnke	HHI-032US	3006	
90 09.30.2002				
Anthony A Laurentano		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
		LIN, KUANG Y		
2109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1725	(
	10/24/2001 00 09/30/2002 urentano ield	10/24/2001 Christian Boehnke 00 09/30/2002 urentano field	10/24/2001 Christian Boehnke HHI-032US 00 09/30/2002 urentano field LIN, KU/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/889,916	BOEHNKE, CHRISTIAN	
Examiner	 Art Unit	
Kuang V Lin	1725	

	09/669,916	BOETHARE, CHRISTIAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kuang Y. Lin	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply	36(a). In no event, howeve	er, may a reply be timely filed	
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	vill apply and will expire SD cause the application to b	K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	<u>uly 2001</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-fina	al.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims			is
·			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.	um fram agnaiderat	ion	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from considerat	on.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊡ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	ent.	
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		Ita by the Eveniner	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	-	11.	
,	arimier.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	and a discount of the	1000 \$ 440(a) (d) as (6)	
13)	priority under 35 t	7.5.C. § 119(a)-(d) of (f).	
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
Certified copies of the priority documents			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application. 	eau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		tion)
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-			.1011).
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •		
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6 	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther:	

Application/Control Number: 09/889,916

Art Unit: 1725

1. The specification is objected to under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph in that it is written in non-idiomatic expression such that render the meaning vague and indefinite. For example, in page 2, lines 19-30, page 3, lines 7-15, and lines 26-27, page 4, lines 23-31, etc. the meaning is not clear. Also, applicant use "attenuation lines" to describe the configuration of "recesses or grooves". However, the expression of "attenuation lines" is not deemed to be conventional. Applicant is required to correct these and other errors which might occur throughout the specification.

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the meaning of "attenuation lines" is not clear. In claim 2, it is not clear what is claimed and also there is a lack of antecedent basis in the specification for the claimed feature. In claim 3, it is not clear what is claimed. Claims 6-9 involve an apparatus claim depending from a method claim. Thus, these claims can be infringed without infringing the base claim from which it depends.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1725

4. Claims 1-9 insofar as definite are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Germany 1,508,800 or WO 91/12910 and further in view of Klier et al.

Each of the primary references substantially shows the invention as claimed except the step of reinforcement adding step. However, Klier et al show that it is conventional to add a reinforcement into a molten metal during a composite making process. It would have been obvious to add a reinforcement material into the molten metal of the primary references if a composite article is designated. With respect to claim 3, it would have been obvious to add the reinforcement into molten magnesium if the magnesium metal matrix composite is designated.

- 5. The patents to Suh, Anderson, Savage, Sugitani, JP 61-193,745, JP 2-108,442 and JP 5-15,963 are cited to further show the state of the art.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 703-308-2322. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas X Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7719 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/889.916

Art Unit: 1725

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

September 24, 2002

EXAMINER
GROUP 320

1725